

**SCORES AND RATINGS GRID FOR EVALUATION COMMITTEES**

<u>Description</u>	<u>SCORE</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>RATING</u>
<b>Exceptional</b> Application demonstrates <b>outstanding</b> scientific rigour.	18 to 20	90 to 100 %	<b>A +</b>
<b>Remarkable</b> Strengths and qualities of the application <b>exceed</b> standards in terms of scientific rigour.	17 to 17.9	85 to 89.9 %	<b>A</b>
<b>Excellent</b> Overall, the application <b>meets</b> standards in terms of scientific rigour.	16 to 16.9	80 to 84.9 %	<b>A -</b>
<b>Very good</b> There are minor weaknesses in the application.	15 to 15.9	75 to 79.9 %	<b>B+</b>
<b>Good</b> There are weaknesses in the application (a series of minor weaknesses or a more significant weakness).	14 to 14.9	70 to 74.9 %	<b>B</b>
<b>Passable</b> There are significant weaknesses in the application.	12 to 13.9	60 to 69.9 %	<b>B-</b>
<b>Insufficient</b> Clearly lacking in terms of scientific rigour.	11.9 and below	59.9 % and below	<b>C</b>

For all master's and PhD awards, an evaluation committee will only recommend applications with a minimum total score of 60% (12/20).